

# MATH 3060: HW5 Solution

Leon Li

ylli@math.cuhk.edu.hk



1. (Generalisation of Contraction Mapping Principle)

Let  $X$  be a complete metric space. And let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map such that the  $k$ -time composition  $T^k$  is contraction. Show that  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

Sol) By assumption,  $T^k: X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction.

By Contraction mapping principle,  $T^k$  has a unique fixed point  $x \in X$ .

Showing  $x$  is a fixed point of  $T$ : Note that  $T^k(Tx) = T(T^kx) = Tx$ .

$\therefore Tx$  is also a fixed point of  $T^k$ .

By the uniqueness of fixed point of  $T^k$ ,  $Tx = x$ .

$\therefore x$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

Showing  $x$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$ :

Suppose  $y \in X$  is also a fixed point of  $T$ . Then

$T^k y = T^{k-1}(Ty) = T^{k-1}y = \dots = Ty = y$ .  $\therefore y$  is a fixed point of  $T^k$ .

By the uniqueness of fixed point of  $T^k$ ,  $y = x$ .

Therefore,  $x$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$ .

2. Show that the equation  $\cos x + 2x^4 + x = 1.001$  has a solution near  $x=0$ .

Sol) Define  $\Phi: (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  by  $\Phi = \text{Id} + \Psi$ , where  $\Psi(x) = \cos x + 2x^4$ . Then  $\Phi(0) = 1$ .

Applying Perturbation of Identity to  $\Phi$ : need to construct  $r > 0$  such that

$\Psi|_{\overline{B}_r(0)}: (\overline{B}_r(0), |\cdot|) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  is a contraction.

For any  $x_1, x_2 \in \overline{B}_r(0)$ ,  $|\Psi(x_2) - \Psi(x_1)| = |(\cos x_2 - \cos x_1) + 2(x_2^4 - x_1^4)|$

$$= |(-\sin \xi)(x_2 - x_1) + 2(x_2 - x_1)(x_2^3 + x_2^2 x_1 + x_2 x_1^2 + x_1^3)|$$

(where  $\xi$  is between  $x_2$  and  $x_1$ )  
by applying Mean Value Theorem to  $\cos x$ .

$$= |(-\sin \xi + 2(x_2^3 + x_2 x_1^2 + x_2^2 x_1 + x_1^3))| |x_2 - x_1|$$

$$\leq (r + 2(r^3 + r^3 + r^3 + r^3)) |x_2 - x_1| = (r + 8r^3) |x_2 - x_1|$$

Choose  $r = \frac{1}{4}$ ; then  $\gamma = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{3}{8} < 1$ .

$\therefore$  For all  $x_1, x_2 \in \overline{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)$ ,  $|\Psi(x_1) - \Psi(x_2)| \leq \gamma |x_1 - x_2|$ . Hence  $\Psi|_{\overline{B}_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)}$  is a contraction.

By Perturbation of Identity, for any  $y \in \overline{B}_R(1)$ , where  $R = (1 - \gamma)r = \frac{5}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{4} > \frac{1}{10}$ ,

there exists unique  $x \in \overline{B}_r(0)$  such that  $\Phi(x) = y$ . In particular,  $1.001 \in \overline{B}_R(1)$ .

$\therefore \cos x + 2x^4 + x = 1.001$  is solvable over  $|x| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ .

3. Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Show that there exist  $r > 0$  and  $R > 0$  such that  $\forall y \in \overline{B_R(0)} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists a unique  $x \in \overline{B_r(0)} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$x = y + (x^T A x)v.$$

Sol) Method 1: Apply an alternative of Prop. 3.5.

Prop 3.5' Let  $\Phi = \text{Id} + \Psi : U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^1$  map on an open subset

$U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  containing  $0$  which satisfies the following properties:

$$\textcircled{1} \Psi(0) = 0 \quad \textcircled{2} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial \Psi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Then there exists  $r, R > 0$  such that for any  $y \in \overline{B_R(0)}$ ,

there exists unique  $x \in \overline{B_r(0)}$  such that  $\Phi(x) = y$ .

Pf Almost identical to the proof of Prop. 3.5: in the last step,

Apply Thm 3.4 directly instead of its Remark 2. -  $\square$

Define  $\Phi : (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2)$  by  $\Phi = \text{Id} + \Psi$ , where  $\Psi(x) = -(x^T A x)v$

Then  $\Psi(0) = -(0^T A 0)v = 0$ . Hence,  $\textcircled{1}$  is satisfied.

By Prop. 3.5', it suffices to check ②:  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) = 0$ , for any  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

Note that

$$x^T A x = (x_1 \dots x_n) \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{matrix} x_1 a_{11} x_1 + \dots + x_1 a_{1j} x_j + \dots + x_1 a_{1n} x_n \\ \vdots \\ x_j a_{j1} x_1 + \dots + x_j a_{jj} x_j + \dots + x_j a_{jn} x_n \\ \vdots \\ x_n a_{n1} x_1 + \dots + x_n a_{nj} x_j + \dots + x_n a_{nn} x_n \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \forall 1 \leq j \leq n, \frac{\partial (x^T A x)}{\partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (x_j a_{jj} x_j + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n x_k a_{kj} x_j + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n x_j a_{jk} x_k) \\ &= 2a_{jj} x_j + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n a_{kj} x_k + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n a_{jk} x_k = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{jk} + a_{kj}) x_k. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) = - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{jk} + a_{kj}) x_k \right) v_j. \quad \therefore \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) = 0$$

Therefore, by Prop. 3.5', there exists  $r, R > 0$  such that for any  $y \in \bar{B}_R(0)$ ,

there exists unique  $x \in \bar{B}_r(0)$  such that  $\Phi(x) = y$ , i.e.  $x = y + (x^T A x)v$ .

Method 2: Apply the perturbation of identity directly.

Applying Perturbation of Identity to  $\Phi$  defined above: need to construct  $r > 0$  such that

$\Phi|_{\overline{B}_r(0)}: (\overline{B}_r(0), \|\cdot\|_2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2)$  is a contraction.

For any  $x, x' \in \overline{B}_r(0)$ ,  $\|\Phi(x) - \Phi(x')\|_2 = \|-(x^T A x)v + (x'^T A x')v\|_2$

$= \|(-\langle Ax, x \rangle + \langle Ax', x' \rangle)v\|_2$  (where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the standard inner product.)

$= |-\langle Ax, x \rangle + \langle Ax', x' \rangle| \|v\|_2$

$= |\langle A(x'+x), (x'-x) \rangle| \|v\|_2$  ( $\because A$  is symmetric  $\Rightarrow \langle Ax', -x \rangle = -\langle x', Ax \rangle = -\langle Ax, x' \rangle$ )

$\leq \|A(x'+x)\|_2 \|x'-x\|_2 \|v\|_2$  (By Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality)

$\leq \|A\|_2 \|x+x'\|_2 \|v\|_2 \|x-x'\|_2$  (where  $\|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ )

$\leq 2r \|A\|_2 \|v\|_2 \|x-x'\|_2$

Choose  $r = \frac{1}{2 \|A\|_2 \|v\|_2 + 1}$ , then  $\gamma = \frac{2 \|A\|_2 \|v\|_2}{2 \|A\|_2 \|v\|_2 + 1} < 1$ .

$\therefore$  For all  $x, x' \in \overline{B}_r(0)$ ,  $\|\Phi(x) - \Phi(x')\|_2 \leq \gamma \|x - x'\|_2$ . Hence  $\Phi|_{\overline{B}_r(0)}$  is a contraction.

By Perturbation of Identity, for any  $y \in \overline{B}_r(0)$ , where  $R = (1 - \gamma)r = \frac{1}{(2 \|A\|_2 \|v\|_2 + 1)^2}$ .

there exists unique  $x \in \overline{B}_r(0)$  such that  $\Phi(x) = y$ , i.e.  $x = y + (x^T A x) \cdot v$ .

4. Let  $K(x, t) \in C([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ . Show that there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that for all  $g \in C[0, 1]$ , there exists a unique solution  $y \in C[0, 1]$  of the integral equation

$$y(x) = g(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 K(x, t) y(t) dt.$$

Sol) Define  $\Phi: (C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  by  $\Phi(y(x)) = y(x) - \Phi(y(x))$ ,

where  $\Phi(y(x)) = -\lambda \int_0^1 K(x, t) y(t) dt$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  is to be determined. Then  $\Phi(0) = 0$ .

Applying Perturbation of Identity to  $\Phi$ : need to choose  $\lambda > 0$  small enough such that

$\Phi: (C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is a contraction.

For any  $y_1, y_2 \in (C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ , for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(y_2(x)) - \Phi(y_1(x))| &= \left| -\lambda \int_0^1 K(x, t) y_2(t) dt + \lambda \int_0^1 K(x, t) y_1(t) dt \right| \\ &= \lambda \left| \int_0^1 K(x, t) (y_1(t) - y_2(t)) dt \right| \leq \lambda \cdot \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \{ |K(x, t)| \} \cdot \|y_2 - y_1\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \|\Phi(y_2) - \Phi(y_1)\|_\infty \leq \lambda \cdot \max_{(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]} \{ |K(x, t)| \} \cdot \|y_2 - y_1\|_\infty = \lambda M \|y_2 - y_1\|_\infty.$$

where  $M = \max_{(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]} \{ |K(x, t)| \}$ . Choose  $\lambda = \frac{1}{M+1}$ , then  $\delta = \frac{M}{M+1} < 1$ .

$\therefore \|\Phi(y_2) - \Phi(y_1)\|_{\infty} < \delta \|y_2 - y_1\|_{\infty}$ , for any  $y_1, y_2 \in (C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ .

$\Phi: (C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) \rightarrow (C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  is a contraction when  $\lambda = \frac{1}{M+1}$ .

$\therefore$  By Perturbation of Identity, for any  $g(x) \in C[0,1]$ , there exists  $y(x) \in C[0,1]$

such that  $\Phi(y(x)) = g(x)$ , i.e.  $y(x) = g(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 K(x,t)y(t)dt$ .